

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,209	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	MPA17US	1357
= 1000	590 04/23/2007 CRESEARCH PTY LTD			
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN 2001	UHLENHAKE, JASON S			
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTUS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		TH		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/760,209	SILVERBROOK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jason Uhlenhake	2853		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by somy reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re 1. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	3 January 2007.			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	•		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2853

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Silverbrook et al (U.S. Pat. 6,439,908)

## Silverbrook ('908) discloses:

- regarding claim 1, at least one printhead module (10 of Figure 2) comprising at least two, separate printhead integrated circuits (18 of Figure 4), each of the printhead integrated circuits having nozzles formed therein for delivering printing fluid onto the surface of the print media (Column 3, Lines 45 47), one elongate support member (16 of Figures 3, 7) supporting the at least two printhead integrated circuits, and an electrical connector for connecting electrical signals to the at least two printhead integrated circuits (Column 3, Lines 49-50, 59-65)
- drive electronics incorporating at least one controller arranged to control the printing operation of a selectable number of the at least two printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector (Column 3, Lines 48-50, 59-65)
- a casing in which the at least one printhead module and the drive electronics are removably mounted, the at least one printhead module being

Art Unit: 2853

removably mounted to the casing at the elongate support member (Column 1, Line 65 – Column 2, Line 5)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook et al (U.S. Pat. 6,439,908) in view of Silverbrook (U.S. Pat. 6,916,082).

#### Silverbrook ('908) discloses:

- **regarding claim 2,** at least one printhead module comprises one or more groups of four printhead integrated circuits (18 of Figure 4)
- **regarding claim 3,** at least one printhead moduel comprises one or more groups of four printhead integrated circuits (18 of Figure 4)
- regarding claim 4, at least one printhead moduel comprises one or more groups of eight printhead integrated circuits (18 of Figure 4)
- regarding claim 6, at least one printhead module (10 of Figure 2) is formed as a unitary arrangement of the at least two printhead integrated circuits (18 of Figure 4), the support member (16 of Figures 3, 7), the electrical connector (48 of Figure 8), and at least one fluid distribution member (26 of

Art Unit: 2853

Figure 7) mounting the at least two printhead integrated circuits to the support member; and the support member has at least one longitudinally extending channel (80 of Figure 7) for carrying the printing fluid for the pirnthead integrated circuits and includes a plurality of apertures (42 of Figure 7) extending through a wall of the support member arranged so as to direct the printing fluid from the at least on channel to associated nozzles in both, or if more than two, all the printhead integrated circuits by way of respective ones of the fluid distribution members (Figure 7; Column 3, Lines 45-47)

# Silverbrook ('908) does not disclose expressly the following

- **regarding claim 2,** a single controller is selected for controlling each group of two printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector
- regarding claim 3, a single controller is selected for controlling each group of four printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector
- regarding claim 4, a single controller is selected for controlling each group of eight printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector Silverbrook ('082) discloses:
- **regarding claim 2,** a single controller is selected for controlling each group of two printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector (Column 5, Lines 7 18), for the purpose of providing effective control of a number of printhead circuits/chips
- **regarding claim 3,** a single controller is selected for controlling each group of four printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector

Art Unit: 2853

(Column 5, Lines 7 – 18), for the purpose of providing effective control of a number of printhead circuits/chips

- **regarding claim 4,** a single controller is selected for controlling each group of eight printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector (Column 5, Lines 7 – 18), for the purpose of providing effective control of a number of printhead circuits/chips

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Silverbrook ('082) into the device of Silverbrook ('908), for the purpose of controlling a number of printhead circuits/chips and replacing any defective modules.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (U.S. Pat. 6,439,908) in view of Silverbrook (U.S. Pat. 6,916,082).

Silverbrook ('908) in view of Silverbrook ('082) discloses the claimed invention except for the following:

regarding claim 5, the at least one printhead module comprises one or more groups of sixteen printhead integrated circuits and a single controller is selected for controlling each group of sixteen printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the at least one printhead module comprises one or more groups of sixteen printhead integrated circuits and a single controller is selected for controlling each group of sixteen printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector, since it has been held

Art Unit: 2853

that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art, for the purpose of improving the quality of printing. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 93 USPQ 8.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of at least one printhead module comprises one or more groups of sixteen printhead integrated circuits and a single controller is selected for controlling each group of sixteen printhead integrated circuits via the electrical connector as taught by Silverbrook ('908) in view of Silverbrook ('082), for the purpose of providing effective control of a number of printhead circuits/chips

Art Unit: 2853

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

April 12, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER